PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

INAOKA, Kosaku
c/o Al Association of Patent and Trademark Attorneys
Sun Mullion NBF Tower, 21st Floor
6-12, Minamilhommachi 2-chome, Chuo-ku
Osaka-shi, Osaka 5410054
JAPON

Received

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
11 May 2006 (11.05.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
5074-PCT

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009353

Applicant

KYOCERA CORPORATION et al

l.	Transmittal	of the	translation	to	the applicant.
----	--------------------	--------	-------------	----	----------------

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report or
 patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

None

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Masashi Honda

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 70 10

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/338 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 5074-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/JP2004/009353	International filing date (day/month/year) 25 June 2004 (25.06.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27 June 2003 (27.06.2003)
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	n edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237	
Applicant KYOCERA CORPORATION		·

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	d of 4 sheets, including this	s cover sheet.	
i I	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Вох №. П	Priority		
	Box No. III	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invent	tion	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cite	ed.	
	Box No. VII	II Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).			
			Date of issuance of this report 01 May 2006 (01.05.2006)	
	The International Bu		Authorized officer	
	34, chemin des Co 1211 Geneva 20, S		Masashi Honda	
Facsi	Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35		Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 5074-PCT See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) 25.06.2004 27,06,2003 PCT/JP2004/009353 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant KYOCERA CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer Telephone No. Facsimile No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009353

В	ox No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With filed	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	-	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	n regard to any nucleotide and/or antino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed intion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	ь.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	. 🗆	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
-	l, Ado	litional comments:
]		
١		
l		
١		
		·

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009353

		e 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	3, 4, 6, 7, 9-14, 16-22	YES
	Claims	1, 2, 5, 8, 15	_ NO
Inventive step (IS)		6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 16-19, 21, 22 1-5, 8, 10, 13-15, 20	_ YES
	-		_ NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-22	_ YES
	Claims		_ ^{NO}

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP 2000-109993 A (Sumitomo Special Metals, Co., Ltd.) 18 April 2000 JP 8-88305 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.) 02 April 1996

Document 3: JP 2002-371382 A (Murata Mfg. Co. Ltd.) 26 December 2002

Document 4: JP 6-302469 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.) 28 October 1994

The inventions of claims 1, 2 are described in documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR, and thus they do not appear to possess novelty or involve an inventive step.

The inventions of claims 3 and 4 do not appear to involve and inventive step based on documents 1 and 2.

The inventions of claims 5 and 8 are described in documents 3 and 4 cited in the ISR, and thus they do not appear to possess novelty or involve an inventive step.

The inventions of claims 10, 13, and 14 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on documents 3 and 4.

The invention of claim 15 is described in documents 1 cited in the ISR, and thus it does not appear to possess novelty or involve an inventive step.

The invention of claim 20 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1.

The inventions of claims 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 16-19, 21 and 22 are neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.